

Mock Test Paper - Series II**Date of Paper: 30th September, 2024****Time of Paper: 2 P.M. to 5 P.M.****FINAL COURSE: GROUP – I****PAPER – 1: FINANCIAL REPORTING****ANSWER TO PART – I CASE SCENARIO BASED MCQS**

1. Option (a) : Bad debts expenses incurred during third quarter should be recognised in the same quarter. Accordingly, ₹ 50,000 should be deducted from ₹ 20,00,000.
2. Option (d) : ₹ 14,50,000
3. Option (c) : A single performance obligation
4. Option (b) : ₹ 2,05,00,000
5. Option (a) : ₹ 1,70,83,333
6. Option (c) : ₹ 34,16,667
7. Option (b) 2.40
8. Option (a) 2.29
9. Option (d) Executory contract and non-derivative contract
10. Option (c) Equity
11. Option (a) : ₹ 34,000 crores
12. Option (b) : ₹ 4,000 crores
13. Option (c) : ₹ 250 crores
14. Option (a) : 1st April, 20X0
15. Option (d) : 20X0-20X1

ANSWERS OF PART – II DESCRIPTIVE QUESTIONS

1. Consolidated Balance Sheet of DEF Ltd. and its subsidiary, XYZ Ltd.
as at 31st March, 20X2

Particulars	Note No.	₹
I. Assets		
(1) Non-current assets		
(i) Property Plant & Equipment	1	86,00,000
(2) Current Assets		
(i) Inventories	2	17,14,000

(ii) Financial Assets		
(a) Trade Receivables	3	9,98,000
(b) Cash & Cash equivalents	4	<u>2,25,000</u>
Total Assets		<u>1,15,37,000</u>
II. Equity and Liabilities		
(1) Equity		
(i) Equity Share Capital	5	50,00,000
(ii) Other Equity	6	49,92,000
(2) Current Liabilities		
(i) Financial Liabilities		
(a) Trade Payables	7	7,45,000
(b) Short term borrowings	8	<u>8,00,000</u>
Total Equity & Liabilities		<u>1,15,37,000</u>

Notes to Accounts

			₹
1.	Property Plant & Equipment		
	Land & Building	43,00,000	
	Plant & Machinery	43,00,000	86,00,000
2.	Inventories		
	DEF Ltd.	12,00,000	
	XYZ Ltd.	<u>5,14,000</u>	17,14,000
3.	Trade Receivables		
	DEF Ltd.	5,98,000	
	XYZ Ltd.	<u>4,00,000</u>	9,98,000
4.	Cash & Cash equivalents		
	DEF Ltd.	1,45,000	
	XYZ Ltd.	<u>80,000</u>	2,25,000
7.	Trade payable		
	DEF Ltd.	4,71,000	
	XYZ Ltd.	<u>2,74,000</u>	7,45,000
8.	Shorter-term borrowings		
	Bank overdraft		8,00,000

Statement of Changes in Equity:

1. Equity share Capital

Balance at the beginning of the reporting period	Changes in Equity share capital during the year	Balance at the end of the reporting period
50,00,000	0	50,00,000

2. Other Equity

	Reserves & Surplus			Total
	Capital reserve	Retained Earnings	Other Reserves	
Balance at the beginning		0	24,00,000	24,00,000
Total comprehensive income for the year	0	5,72,000		5,72,000
Dividends	0	(2,00,000)		(2,00,000)
Total comprehensive income attributable to parent	0	3,35,000		3,35,000
Gain on Bargain purchase	<u>18,85,000</u>	_____	_____	<u>18,85,000</u>
Balance at the end of reporting period	<u>18,85,000</u>	<u>7,07,000</u>	<u>24,00,000</u>	<u>49,92,000</u>

It is assumed that there exists no clear evidence for classifying the acquisition of the subsidiary as a bargain purchase and, hence, the bargain purchase gain has been recognized directly in capital reserve. If, however, there exists such a clear evidence, the bargain purchase gain would be recognized in other comprehensive income and then accumulated in capital reserve. In both the cases, closing balance of capital reserve will be ₹ 18,85,000.

Working Notes:**1. Adjustments of Fair Value**

The Plant & Machinery of XYZ Ltd. would stand in the books at ₹ 14,25,000 on 1st October, 20X1, considering only six months' depreciation on ₹ 15,00,000 total depreciation being ₹ 1,50,000. The value put on the assets being ₹ 20,00,000 there is an appreciation to the extent of ₹ 5,75,000.

2. Acquisition date profits of XYZ Ltd.

₹

Reserves on 1.4.20X1	10,00,000
Profit & Loss Account Balance on 1.4. 20X1	3,00,000
Profit for 20X2:	
Total ₹ 8,20,000 less ₹ 1,00,000 (3,00,000 – 2,00,000) i.e. ₹ 7,20,000; for 6 months i.e. up to 1.10.20X1	3,60,000
Total Appreciation including machinery appreciation (10,00,000 1,50,000 + 5,75,000 – 1,00,000)	<u>16,25,000</u>
Share of DEF Ltd.	<u>32,85,000</u>

3. Post-acquisition profits of XYZ Ltd. ₹

Profit after 1.10. 20X1 $[8,20,000 - 1,00,000] \times 6/12$	3,60,000
Less: 10% depreciation on ₹ 20,00,000 for 6 months less depreciation already charged for 2 nd half of 20X1-20X2 on ₹ 15,00,000 (1,00,000-75,000)	<u>(25,000)</u>
Share of DEF Ltd.	<u>3,35,000</u>

4. Consolidated total comprehensive income ₹

<i>DEF Ltd.</i>	
Retained earnings on 31.3.20X2	5,72,000
Less: Retained earnings as on 1.4.20X1	<u>(0)</u>
Profits for the year 20X1-20X2	5,72,000
Less: Elimination of intra-group dividend	<u>(2,00,000)</u>
Adjusted profit for the year	3,72,000
<i>XYZ Ltd.</i>	
Adjusted profit attributable to DEF Ltd. (W.N.3)	<u>3,35,000</u>
Consolidated profit or loss for the year	<u>7,07,000</u>

No Non-controlling Interest as 100% shares of XYZ Ltd. are held by DEF Ltd.

5. Gain on Bargain Purchase ₹

Amount paid for 20,000 shares		34,00,000
Par value of shares	20,00,000	
DEF Ltd.'s share in acquisition date profits of XYZ Ltd.	<u>32,85,000</u>	<u>(52,85,000)</u>
Gain on Bargain Purchase		<u>18,85,000</u>

6. Value of Plant & Machinery

₹

DEF Ltd.		24,00,000
XYZ Ltd.	13,50,000	
Add: Appreciation on 1.10. 20X1	<u>5,75,000</u>	
	19,25,000	
Add: Depreciation for 2nd half charged on pre-revalued value	75,000	
Less: Depreciation on ₹ 20,00,000 for 6 months	<u>(1,00,000)</u>	<u>19,00,000</u>
		<u>43,00,000</u>

7. Consolidated retained earnings

₹

	DEF Ltd.	XYZ Ltd.	Total
As given	5,72,000	8,20,000	13,92,000
<i>Consolidation Adjustments:</i>			
(i) Elimination of pre-acquisition element [3,00,000 + 3,60,000]	0	(6,60,000)	(6,60,000)
(ii) Elimination of intra-group dividend	(2,00,000)	2,00,000	0
(iii) Impact of fair value adjustments	<u>0</u>	<u>(25,000)</u>	<u>(25,000)</u>
Adjusted retained earnings consolidated	<u>3,72,000</u>	<u>3,35,000</u>	<u>7,07,000</u>

Assumptions:

- Investment in XYZ Ltd is carried at cost in the separate financial statements of DEF Ltd.
 - Appreciation of ₹10 lakhs in land & buildings is entirely attributable to land element only.
 - Depreciation on plant and machinery is on WDV method.
 - Acquisition-date fair value adjustment to inventories of XYZ Ltd. existing at the balance sheet date does not result in need for any write-down.
2. (a) On the date of initial recognition, the effective interest rate of the loan shall be computed keeping in view the contractual cash flows and upfront processing fee paid. The following table shows the amortisation of loan based on effective interest rate:

Date	Cash flows (principal)	Cash flows (interest and fee)	Amortised cost (opening + interest – cash flows)	Interest @ EIR (11.50%)
1-Jan-20X1	(500,000,000)	5,870,096	494,129,904	
31-Dec-20X1	100,000,000	55,000,000	395,954,843	56,824,939
31-Dec-20X2	100,000,000	44,000,000	297,489,650	45,534,807
31-Dec-20X3	100,000,000	33,000,000	198,700,959	34,211,310
31-Dec-20X4	100,000,000	22,000,000	99,551,570	22,850,610
31-Dec-20X5	100,000,000	11,000,000	(0)	11,448,430

a. 1 January 20X1 –

Particulars		(₹)	(₹)
Bank A/c Dr. To Loan from bank A/c (Being loan recorded at its fair value less transaction costs on the initial recognition date)		494,129,904	494,129,904

b. 31 December 20X1 –

Particulars		(₹)	(₹)
Loan from bank A/c Dr. Interest expense (profit and loss) Dr. To Bank A/c (Being first instalment of loan and payment of interest accounted for as an adjustment to the amortised cost of loan)		98,175,061 56,824,939	155,000,000

c. 31 December 20X2 – Before Wheel Co. Limited approached the bank –

Particulars		(₹)	(₹)
Interest expense (profit and loss) Dr. To Loan from bank A/c To Bank A/c (Being loan payment of interest recorded by the Company before it approached the Bank for deferment of principal)		45,534,807	1,534,807 44,000,000

Upon receiving the new terms of the loan, Wheel Co. Limited, re-computed the carrying value of the loan by discounting the new cash flows with the original effective interest rate and comparing the same with the current carrying value of the loan. As per requirements of Ind

AS 109, any change of more than 10% shall be considered a substantial modification, resulting in fresh accounting for the new loan:

Date	Cash flows (principal)	Interest outflow @ 15%	Discount factor	PV of cash flows
31-Dec-20X2	(400,000,000)			
31-Dec-20X3	40,000,000	60,000,000	0.8969	89,686,099
31-Dec-20X4	40,000,000	54,000,000	0.8044	75,609,805
31-Dec-20X5	40,000,000	48,000,000	0.7214	63,483,092
31-Dec-20X6	40,000,000	42,000,000	0.6470	53,053,542
31-Dec-20X7	40,000,000	36,000,000	0.5803	44,100,068
31-Dec-20X8	40,000,000	30,000,000	0.5204	36,429,133
31-Dec-20X9	40,000,000	24,000,000	0.4667	29,871,422
31-Dec-20Y0	40,000,000	18,000,000	0.4186	24,278,903
31-Dec-20Y1	40,000,000	12,000,000	0.3754	19,522,235
31-Dec-20Y3	40,000,000	6,000,000	0.3367	15,488,493
PV of new contractual cash flows discounted at 11.50%				451,522,791
Carrying amount of loan				397,489,650
Difference				54,033,141
Percentage of carrying amount				13.59%

Note: Calculation done above is on full decimal, though in the table discount factor is limited to 4 decimals.

Considering a more than 10% change in PV of cash flows compared to the carrying value of the loan, the existing loan shall be considered to have been extinguished and the new loan shall be accounted for as a separate financial liability. The accounting entries for the same are included below:

d. 31 December 20X2 – accounting for extinguishment

Particulars	(₹)	(₹)
Loan from bank (old) A/c Dr.	397,489,650	400,000,000
Loss on modification of loan (profit and loss) Dr.	2,510,350	
To Loan from bank (new) A/c		
(Being new loan accounted for at its principal amount in absence of any transaction costs directly related to such loan and correspondingly a de-recognition of existing loan)		

e. 31 December 20X3

Particulars		(₹)	(₹)
Loan from bank A/c	Dr.	40,000,000	100,000,000
Interest expense (profit and loss)	Dr.	60,000,000	
To Bank A/c			
(Being first instalment of the new loan and payment of interest accounted for as an adjustment to the amortised cost of loan)			

(b) Inventory and debtors need to be classified in accordance with the requirement of Ind AS 1, which provides that an asset shall be classified as current if an entity expects to realise the same or intends to sell or consume it in its normal operating cycle.

(a) In this case, time lag between the purchase of inventory and its realisation into cash is 19 months [11 months + 8 months]. Both inventory and the debtors would be classified as current if the entity expects to realise these assets in its normal operating cycle.

(b) No, the answer will be the same as the classification of debtors and inventory depends on the expectation of the entity to realise the same in the normal operating cycle. In this case, time lag between the purchase of inventory and its realisation into cash is 28 months [15 months + 13 months]. Both inventory and debtors would be classified as current if the entity expects to realise these assets in the normal operating cycle.

3. (a) Assessing whether the manufacturing unit can be classified as held for sale

1. The manufacturing unit can be classified as held for sale due to the following reasons:

- (i) The disposal group is available for immediate sale and in its present condition. The regulatory approval is customary and it is expected to be received in one year. The date at which the disposal group must be classified as held for sale is 31st October, 20X1, i.e., the date at which management becomes committed to the plan.
- (ii) The sale is highly probable as the appropriate level of management i.e., board of directors in this case have approved the plan.
- (iii) A firm purchase agreement has been entered with the buyer.
- (iv) The sale is expected to be complete by 30th June, 20X2, i.e., within one year from the date of classification.

2. Measurement of the manufacturing unit as on the date of classification as held for sale

Step 1: Immediately before the initial classification of the asset (or disposal group) as held for sale, the carrying amounts of the asset (or all the assets and liabilities in the group) shall be measured in accordance with applicable Ind AS.

The carrying value of the disposal group as on 31st October, 20X1 is determined at ₹ 2,600. The difference between the carrying value as on 31st March, 20X1 and 31st October, 20X1 is accounted for as per the relevant Ind AS.

Step 2: An entity shall measure a non-current asset (or disposal group) classified as held for sale at the lower of its carrying amount and fair value less costs to sell.

The fair value less cost to sell of the disposal group as on 31st October, 20X1 is ₹ 1,750 (i.e. 1,850 - 100). This is lower than the carrying value of ₹ 2,600. Thus, an impairment loss needs to be recognised and allocated first towards goodwill and thereafter pro-rata between non-current assets of the disposal group which are within the scope of Ind AS 105 based on their carrying value.

Thus, the assets will be measured as under:

Particulars	Carrying value – 31 st October, 20X1	Impairment	Carrying value as per Ind AS 105 – 31 st October, 20X1
Goodwill	500	(500)	-
Plant and Machinery	900	(115)	785
Building	1,850	(235)	1,615
Debtors	1,050	-	1,050
Inventory	400	-	400
Creditors	(250)	-	(250)
Loans	<u>(1,850)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(1,850)</u>
	<u>2,600</u>	<u>(850)</u>	<u>1,750</u>

3. Measurement of the manufacturing unit as at the year end

The measurement as at the end of the financial year shall be on similar lines as done above.

The assets and liabilities in the disposal group not within the scope of this Standard are measured as per the respective Standards.

The fair value less cost to sell of the disposal group as a whole is calculated. This fair value less cost to sell as at the year-end shall be compared with the carrying value as at the date of classification as held for sale. It is provided that the fair value as on the year end is

less than the carrying amount as on that date – thus the impairment loss shall be allocated in the same way between the assets of the disposal group falling within the scope of this standard as shown above.

(b) Journal Entries

Purchase of Machinery on credit basis on 30th January 20X1:

	₹	₹
Machinery A/c (\$ 5,000 x ₹ 60) Dr. To Creditors-Machinery A/c (Initial transaction will be recorded at exchange rate on the date of transaction)	3,00,000	3,00,000

Exchange difference arising on translating monetary item on 31st March 20X1:

	₹	₹
Profit & Loss A/c [(\$ 5,000 x ₹ 65) – (\$ 5,000 x ₹ 60)] Dr. To Creditors-Machinery A/c	25,000	25,000
Machinery A/c Dr. To Revaluation Surplus (OCI) [Being Machinery revalued to USD 5,500; (₹ 60 x (\$ 5,500 - \$ 5,000))]	30,000	30,000
Machinery A/c Dr. To Revaluation Surplus (OCI) (Being Machinery measured at the exchange rate on 31.3.20X1 [\$ 5,500 x (₹ 65 - ₹ 60)])	27,500	27,500
Revaluation Surplus (OCI) Dr. To Deferred Tax Liability (DTL created @ of 30% of the total OCI amount)	17,250	17,250

Exchange difference arising on translating monetary item and settlement of creditors on 31st March 20X2:

	₹	₹
Creditors-Machinery A/c (\$ 5,000 x ₹ 65) Dr. Profit & loss A/c [(5,000 x (₹ 67 - ₹ 65)) Dr. To Bank A/c	3,25,000 10,000	3,35,000
Machinery A/c [{(\$ 5,500 x (₹ 67 - ₹ 65))}] Dr. To Revaluation Surplus (OCI)	11,000	11,000
Revaluation Surplus (OCI) Dr. To Deferred Tax Liability (DTL created @ of 30% of the total OCI amount)	3,300	3,300

4. (a) The annual depreciation charges prior to the change in useful life were

Buildings	₹ 1,50,00,000/15 =	₹ 10,00,000
Plant and machinery	₹ 1,00,00,000/10 =	₹ 10,00,000
Furniture and fixtures	₹ 35,00,000/7 =	<u>₹ 5,00,000</u>
Total =		<u>₹ 25,00,000 (A)</u>

The revised annual depreciation for the year ending 31st March, 20X5, would be

Buildings	$[\text{₹}1,50,00,000 - (\text{₹} 10,00,000 \times 3)] / 10$	₹ 12,00,000
Plant and machinery	$[\text{₹} 1,00,00,000 - (\text{₹} 10,00,000 \times 3)] / 7$	₹ 10,00,000
Furniture and fixtures	$[\text{₹} 35,00,000 - (\text{₹} 5,00,000 \times 3)] / 5$	<u>₹ 4,00,000</u>
Total		<u>₹ 26,00,000 (B)</u>

The impact on Statement of Profit and Loss for the year ending 31st March, 20X5 = ₹ 26,00,000 – ₹ 25,00,000 = ₹ 1,00,000

This is a change in accounting estimate which is adjusted prospectively in the period in which the estimate is amended and, if relevant, to future periods if they are also affected. Accordingly, from 20X4-20X5 onward, excess of ₹ 1,00,000 will be charged in the Statement of Profit and Loss every year till the time there is any further revision.

- (b) **Journal entries in the books of P Ltd (without modification of service period of stock appreciation rights)** (₹ in lakhs)

Date	Particulars	Debit	Credit
31.03.20X2	Profit and Loss account Dr. To Liability against SARs (Being expenses liability for stock appreciation rights recognised)	15.75	15.75
31.03.20X3	Profit and Loss account Dr. To Liability for SARs (Being expenses liability for stock appreciation rights recognised)	17.25	17.25
31.03.20X4	Profit and Loss account Dr. To Liability for SARs (Being expenses liability for stock appreciation rights recognised)	15.38	15.38
31.03.20X5	Profit and Loss account Dr. To Liability for SARs (Being expenses liability for stock appreciation rights recognised)	17.02	17.02

Journal entries in the books of P Ltd
(with modification of service period of stock appreciation rights)
(₹ in lakhs)

Date	Particulars	Debit	Credit
31.03.20X2	Profit and Loss account Dr. To Liability for SARs (Being expenses liability for stock appreciation rights recognised)	15.75	15.75
31.03.20X3	Profit and Loss account Dr. To Liability for SARs (Being expenses liability for stock appreciation rights recognised)	28.25	28.25
31.03.20X4	Profit and Loss account Dr. To Liability for SARs (Being expenses liability for stock appreciation rights recognised)	20.50	20.50

Working Notes:

Calculation of expenses for issue of stock appreciation rights without modification of service period

For the year ended 31st March 20X2

$$= ₹ 210 \times 400 \text{ awards} \times 75 \text{ employees} \times 1 \text{ year} / 4 \text{ years of service} = ₹ 15,75,000$$

For the year ended 31st March 20X3

$$= ₹ 220 \times 400 \text{ awards} \times 75 \text{ employees} \times 2 \text{ years} / 4 \text{ years of service} - ₹ 15,75,000 \text{ previous recognised}$$

$$= ₹ 33,00,000 - ₹ 15,75,000 = ₹ 17,25,000$$

For the year ended 31st March 20X4

$$= ₹ 215 \times 400 \text{ awards} \times 75 \text{ employees} \times 3 \text{ years} / 4 \text{ years of service} - ₹ 33,00,000 \text{ previously recognised}$$

$$= ₹ 48,37,500 - ₹ 33,00,000 = ₹ 15,37,500$$

For the year ended 31st March, 20X5

$$= ₹ 218 \times 400 \text{ awards} \times 75 \text{ employees} \times 4 \text{ years} / 4 \text{ years of service} - ₹ 48,37,500 \text{ previously recognised}$$

$$= ₹ 65,40,000 - ₹ 48,37,500 = ₹ 17,02,500$$

Calculation of expenses for issue of stock appreciation rights with modification of service period

For the year ended 31st March 20X2

$$= ₹ 210 \times 400 \text{ awards} \times 75 \text{ employees} \times 1 \text{ year} / 4 \text{ years of service}$$

$$= ₹ 15,75,000$$

For the year ended 31st March 20X3

$$= ₹ 220 \times 400 \text{ awards} \times 75 \text{ employees} \times 2 \text{ years} / 3 \text{ years of service} - ₹ 15,75,000 \text{ previous recognised}$$

$$= ₹ 44,00,000 - ₹ 15,75,000 = ₹ 28,25,000$$

For the year ended 31st March 20X4

$$= ₹ 215 \times 400 \text{ awards} \times 75 \text{ employees} \times 3 \text{ years} / 3 \text{ years of service} - ₹ 44,00,000 \text{ previous recognised}$$

$$= ₹ 64,50,000 - ₹ 44,00,000 = ₹ 20,50,000.$$

5. (a) In determining the transaction price, AST Limited separately estimates variable consideration for each element of variability i.e. the early completion bonus and the quality bonus.

AST Limited decides to use the expected value method to estimate the variable consideration associated with the early completion bonus because there is a range of possible outcomes, and the entity has experience with a large number of similar contracts that provide a reasonable basis to predict future outcomes. Therefore, the entity expects this method to best predict the amount of variable consideration associated with the early completion bonus. AST's best estimate of the early completion bonus is ₹ 2.13 crore, calculated as shown in the following table:

Bonus %	Amount of bonus (₹ in crore)	Probability	Probability-weighted amount (₹ in crore)
15%	3.75	25%	0.9375
10%	2.50	40%	1.00
5%	1.25	15%	0.1875
0%	-	20%	-
			<u>2.125</u>

AST Limited decides to use the most likely amount to estimate the variable consideration associated with the potential quality bonus because there are only two possible outcomes (₹ 2 crore or Nil) and this method would best predict the amount of consideration associated with the quality bonus. AST Limited believes the most likely amount of the quality bonus is ₹ 2 crore.

Total transaction price would be 25 cr + 2.125 cr + 2 cr = 29.125 cr.

(b) Players' Registrations

Acquisition

As per Ind AS 38 Intangible Assets, the costs associated with the acquisition of players' registrations would need to be capitalized which would be the amount of cash or cash equivalent paid or the fair value of other consideration given to acquire such registrations. In line with Ind AS 38 Intangible Assets, costs would include transfer fees, league

levy fees, and player agents' fees incurred by the club, along with other directly attributable costs, if any. Amounts capitalized would be fully amortized over the period covered by the player's contract.

Sale of registrations

Player registrations would be classified as assets held for sale under Ind AS 105 Non-Current Assets Held for Sale and Discontinued Operations when their carrying amount is expected to be recovered principally through a sale transaction and a sale is considered to be highly probable. To consider a sale to be 'highly probable', the assets (in this case, player registrations) should be actively marketed for sale at a price that is reasonable in relation to its current fair value. In the given case, it would appear that the management is committed to a plan to sell the registration, that the asset is available for immediate sale and that an active plan to locate a buyer is already in place by circulating clubs. Ind AS 105 stipulates that it should be unlikely that the plan to sell the registrations would be significantly changed or withdrawn. To fulfil this requirement, it would be prudent if only those registrations are classified as held for sale where unconditional offers have been received prior to the reporting date.

Once the conditions for classifying assets as held for sale in accordance with Ind AS 105 have been fulfilled, the player registrations would be stated at lower of carrying amount and fair value less costs to sell, with the carrying amount stated in accordance with Ind AS 38 prior to application of Ind AS 105, subjected to impairment, if any.

Profits and losses on sale of players' registrations would be computed by deducting the carrying amount of the players' registrations from the fair value of the consideration receivable, net of transactions costs. In case a portion of the consideration is receivable on the occurrence of a future performance condition (i.e. contingent consideration), this amount would be recognized in the Statement of Profit and Loss only when the conditions are met.

The players registrations disposed of, subsequent to the year end, for ₹ 175 crores, having a corresponding book value of ₹ 49 crores would be disclosed as a non-adjusting event in accordance with Ind AS 10 Events after the Reporting Period.

Impairment review

Ind AS 36 Impairment of Assets requires companies to annually test their assets for impairment. An asset is said to be impaired if the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its recoverable amount. The recoverable amount is higher of the asset's fair value less costs to sell and its value in use (which is the present value of future cash flows expected to arise from the use of the asset). In the given scenario, it is not easy to determine the value in use of any player in isolation as that player cannot generate cash flows on his/her own unless via a sale transaction or an insurance recovery. Whilst any individual player cannot really be separated from the single cash-generating unit (CGU), being a cricket team or a hockey team in the instant case, there may

be certain instances where a player is taken out of the CGU when it becomes clear that he/she will not play for the club again. If such circumstances arise, the carrying amount of the player should be assessed against the best estimate of the player's fair value less any costs to sell and an impairment charge should be recognized in the profit or loss, which reflects any loss arising.

(c) Five fundamental principles of ethics for Chartered Accountants:

- (1) Integrity – to be straightforward and honest in all professional and business relationships.
- (2) Objectivity – not to compromise professional or business judgments because of bias, conflict of interest or undue influence of others.
- (3) Professional Competence and Due Care – to:
 - (i) attain and maintain professional knowledge and skill at the level required to ensure that a client or employing organization receives competent professional service, based on current technical and professional standards and relevant legislation; and
 - (ii) act diligently and in accordance with applicable technical and professional standards.
- (4) Confidentiality – to respect the confidentiality of information acquired as a result of professional and business relationships.
- (5) Professional Behaviour – to comply with relevant laws and regulations and avoid any conduct that the Chartered Accountant knows or should know might discredit the profession.

6. (a) (i) An earnings-based valuation of Entity A's holding of shares in company XYZ could be calculated as follows:

Particulars	Unit
Entity XYZ's after-tax maintainable profits (A)	₹ 70,000
Price/Earnings ratio (B)	15
Adjusted discount factor (C) (1- 0.20)	0.80
Value of Company XYZ (A) x (B) x (C)	₹ 8,40,000

Value of a share of XYZ = ₹ 8,40,000 ÷ 5,000 shares = ₹ 168

The fair value of Entity A's investment in XYZ's shares is estimated at ₹ 42,000 (that is, 250 shares x ₹ 168 per share).

(ii) Share price = ₹ 8,50,000 ÷ 5,000 shares = ₹ 170 per share.

The fair value of Entity A's investment in XYZ shares is estimated to be ₹ 42,500 (250 shares x ₹ 170 per share).

(b) **Either**

Calculation of Net Worth:

Particulars	₹ in crores
Equity Share Capital	160
Securities Premium	200
General Reserve	150
Profit and Loss A/c	75
Miscellaneous Expenditure not written off	(80)
Net Worth as per Section 2(57) of The Companies Act, 2013	505

Note – Revaluation Reserve would not be included in the calculation of net worth as per definition mentioned in section 2(57) of The Companies Act, 2013

The company is a listed company and it does meet the net worth threshold of ₹ 500 Crores. Hence it would be covered under phase I. Hence Ind AS would be applicable to the company for accounting periods beginning on or after 1st April 2016.

Even if Company A is an unlisted company as company A's net worth is more than 500 Crores, it would be covered under Phase I of the road map and hence Ind AS would be applicable for the accounting periods beginning on or after 1st April 2016.

Or

Accounting Treatment:

Trade Receivables fall within the ambit of financial assets under Ind AS 109, Financial Instruments. Thus, the issue in question is whether the factoring arrangement entered into with Samantha Ltd. requires Natasha Ltd. to derecognize the trade receivables from its financial statements.

As per Para 3.2.3, 3.2.4, 3.2.5 and 3.2.6 of Ind AS 109, Financial Instruments, an entity shall derecognise a financial asset when, and only when:

- (a) the contractual rights to the cash flows from the financial asset expire, or
- (b) it transfers the financial asset or substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership of the financial asset to another party.

In the given case, since the trade receivables are appearing in the Balance Sheet of Natasha Ltd. as at 31st March 20X2 and are expected to be collected, the contractual rights to the cash flows have not expired.

As far as the transfer of the risks and rewards of ownership is concerned, the factoring arrangement needs to be viewed in its substance, rather than its legal form. Natasha Ltd. has transferred

the receivables to Samantha Ltd. for cash of ₹ 250 crores, and yet, it remains liable for making good any shortfall between ₹ 250 crores and the amount collected by Samantha Ltd. Thus, in substance, Natasha Ltd. is effectively liable for the entire ₹ 250 crores, although the shortfall would not be such an amount. Accordingly, Natasha Ltd. retains the credit risk despite the factoring arrangement entered.

It is also explicitly stated in the agreement that Samantha Ltd. would be liable to pay to Natasha Ltd. any amount collected more than ₹ 250 crores, after retaining an amount towards interest. Thus, Natasha Ltd. retains the potential rewards of full settlement.

A perusal of the above clearly shows that substantially all the risks and rewards continue to remain with Natasha Ltd., and hence, the trade receivables should continue to appear in the Balance Sheet of Natasha Ltd. The immediate payment (i.e. consideration as per the factoring agreement) of ₹ 250 crores by Samantha Ltd. to Natasha Ltd. should be regarded as a financial liability and be shown as such by Natasha Ltd. in its Balance Sheet.

(c) (a) At 31st March, 20X1, the end of the reporting period

Present obligation as a result of a past obligating event – There is no obligation because there is no obligating event either for the costs of fitting smoke filters or for fines under the legislation.

Conclusion – No provision is recognised for the cost of fitting the smoke filters.

(b) At 31st March, 20X2, the end of the reporting period

Present obligation as a result of a past obligating event – There is still no obligation for the costs of fitting smoke filters because no obligating event has occurred (the fitting of the filters). However, an obligation might arise to pay fines or penalties under the legislation because the obligating event has occurred (the non-compliant operation of the factory).

An outflow of resources embodying economic benefits in settlement – Assessment of probability of incurring fines and penalties by non-compliant operation depends on the details of the legislation and the stringency of the enforcement regime.

Conclusion – No provision is recognised for the costs of fitting smoke filters. However, a provision is recognised for the best estimate of any fines and penalties that are more likely than not to be imposed.